

~~SECRET~~

UPDATE BRIEFING: GRILL FLAME PROGRAM

- I. INTRODUCTION.
- II. THREE PHASES OF PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
 - A. THE SEARCH & IDENTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL FOR TRAINING.
 - B. PRELIMINARY TRAINING.
 - C. INTENSIVE TRAINING, INCLUDING ATTEMPTS TO IDENTIFY VARIOUS INDIVIDUAL ABILITIES AND THE ACCEPTANCE OF SOME OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- III. MISSION.
- IV. PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
 - A. SELECTING PERSONNEL.
 - B. PRELIMINARY TRAINING:
 - (1) SRI TRAINING.
 - (2) IN-HOUSE EFFORT
 - (3) TARGET SELECTION PROCESS
 - C. INTENSIVE TRAINING:
 - (1) SRI TRAINING
 - (2) IN-HOUSE EFFORT
 - (A) GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES
 - (B) CDEC TARGETS
 - (C) OPERATIONAL TASKS
 - (3) DEVELOPMENT OF TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)
 - D. IDENTIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL CAPABILITIES
 - E. CURRENT PROGRAM
 - F. FUTURE OBJECTIVES
- V. SUMMARY.

~~SECRET~~

Inclsure 1

MISSION STATEMENT

(S) To establish a program using psychoenergetics for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergetics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-High (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

**Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

~~SECRET~~

GRILL FLAME

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

<u>ASSIGNED VALUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	48
2	60
3	52
4	16
5	6
6	1
7	0
NOT RATED	15
AWAITING EVALUATION	39
NOT GRADED	1
NOT FINALIZED	13
	<hr/>
	244

- NOTE: a. 76 sessions may have been influenced
by noise or work related problems
- b. 21 sessions on NK
- c. 39 sessions on Iran

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC) FILE NO. 1 SET CORRELATION CHART

WEEK	DATE	NONE (0%)	LOW (15%)	LOW-MODERATE (30%)	MODERATE (50%)	MODERATE-HIGH (70%)	HIGH (80%)	DIRECT HIT (100%)	REMARKS
	RPT #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	25 FEB - 3 MAR	?							RPT HAS NOT BEEN RATED
2	4 MAR - 10 MAR								
3	11 MAR - 17 MAR								
4	18 MAR - 24 MAR								
5	25 MAR - 31 MAR		○						
6	1 APR - 7 APR	○							
7	8 APR - 14 APR								
8	15 APR - 21 APR	○*		○					* NOISE FACTOR
9	22 APR - 28 APR								
10	29 APR - 5 MAY			○*					* NOISE FACTOR
11	6 MAY - 12 MAY								
12	13 MAY - 19 MAY								
13	20 MAY - 26 MAY								
14	27 MAY - 2 JUN	○							
15	3 JUN - 9 JUN								● NON-RATED SESSION
16	10 JUN - 16 JUN	○							
17	17 JUN - 23 JUN		○						
18	24 JUN - 30 JUN		○	○					
19	1 JUL - 7 JUL	○*							* NOISE FACTOR
20	8 JUL - 14 JUL	○		○*	○*				* NOISE FACTOR
21	15 JUL - 21 JUL								Tdy - w/least training
22	22 JUL - 28 JUL	○*							
23	29 JUL - 4 AUG	○*							* NOISE FACTOR * PERSONAL PROBLEMS
24	5 AUG - 11 AUG								
25	12 AUG - 18 AUG	○*							NOISE PROBLEM (WORK RELATED) PROBLEM
26	19 AUG - 25 AUG								
27	26 AUG - 1 SEP								
28	2 SEP - 8 SEP								SPECIAL PAGE
29	9 SEP - 15 SEP								NOISE PROBLEM
30	16 SEP - 22 SEP								JOB-RELATED PROBLEMS
31	23 SEP - 29 SEP								WEST COAST TDY AND
32	30 SEP - 6 OCT								
33	7 OCT - 13 OCT								* NOISE PROBLEM
34	14 OCT - 20 OCT								
35	21 OCT - 27 OCT								
36	28 OCT - 3 NOV								* NOISE BIG PROBLEM ○ NOV - NON-RATED SESSION ○ NOV - NON-RATED SESSION
37	4 NOV - 10 NOV								
38	11 NOV - 17 NOV								
39	18 NOV - 24 NOV								* NOISE PROBLEM

SG1A

Target Identification	Date Assigned	Viewer	Interviewer	Analyst	No. of Sessions	IC Range	Target Cue or Beacon Type	Status			Remarks
								Post	Present	Future	
[REDACTED]	10 Aug	ALL	ALL	N/A	11	1-3		X	X	Complete	TRAINING only
	SEP	ALL	ALL	N/A	6	1-3		X		ON-GOING	TRAINING only
	26 SEP	1,3,8	F	LR	4	3-5		X	X	ON-GOING/ANALYSIS	ITAC
	TBD									AWAITING GUIDANCE FROM SSD, 902 MIG	
	3 SEP	6,1	F/R	T	10	2-5		X	X	ON-GOING/ANALYSIS	AWAITING FURTHER GUIDANCE
	19 Nov	3,8	TBD	DW							AWAITING TARGET PACKAGE
	5 Oct	8	F	W	1	3		X		ON-GOING	COORDINATE WITH ITAC
	1 Nov	1,3,5, 6,8	F, S, M	W, B	20	1-5		X	X	ON-GOING, MONITOR ON PERIODIC BASIS	COORDINATE WITH ITAC
Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP96-00788R002000240014-0											